Mr. STUMP. Mr. Speaker, I have no further requests for time, and I yield

back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Arizona (Mr. STUMP) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2116, as amended.

The question was taken.

Mrs. KELLY, Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to clause 8 of rule XX and the Chair's prior announcement, further proceedings on this motion will be postponed.

NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVA-TION FUND AUTHORIZATION

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 834) to extend the authorization for the National Historic Preservation Fund, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 834

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.

SECTION 1. AMENDMENT OF NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT.

The National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470 and following; Public Law 89-665) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 101(e)(2) (16 U.S.C. 470a(e)(2)) is

- amended to read as follows:
 "(2) The Secretary may administer grants to the National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States, chartered by an Act of Congress approved October 26, 1949 (63 Stat. 947). consistent with the purposes of its charter and this Act.'
- (2) Section 102 (16 U.S.C. 470b) is amended by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (f) and by redesignating subsection (d), as added by section 4009(3) of Public Law 102-575, as subsection (e).

(3) Section 107 (16 U.S.C. 470g) is amended to read as follows:

'SEC. 107. Nothing in this Act shall be construed to be applicable to the White House and its grounds, the Supreme Court building and its grounds, or the United States Capitol and its re-lated buildings and grounds. For the purposes of this Act, the exemption for the United States Capitol and its related buildings and grounds shall apply to those areas depicted within the properly shaded areas on the map titled 'Map Showing Properties Under the Jurisdiction of the Architect of the Capitol,' and dated November 6, 1996, which shall be on file in the office of the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) Section 108 (16 U.S.C. 470h) is amended by

(4) Section 100 (10 C.S.C. 4701) is allierided by striking '1997' and inserting '2005'.

(5) Section 110(a) (16 U.S.C. 470h-2(a)) is

amended as follows:

(A) In paragraph (1) by deleting the second sentence.

(B) In paragraph (2)(D) by deleting "and" at the end thereof.

(C) In paragraph (2)(E) by striking the period at the end thereof and inserting "; and

(D) By adding at the end of paragraph (2) the

following new subparagraph:

'(F)(i) When operationally appropriate and economically prudent, when locating Federal facilities, Federal agencies shall give first consideration to—

'(I) historic properties within historic districts in central business areas; if no such property is

suitable; then

"(II) other developed or undeveloped sites within historic districts in central business

"(III) historic properties outside of historic districts in central business areas, if no suitable site within a historic district exists.

(IV) if no suitable historic properties exist in central business areas. Federal agencies shall next consider other suitable property in central business areas:

(V) if no such property is suitable, Federal agencies shall next consider the following properties outside central business areas;

(VI) historic properties within historic districts; if no such property is suitable; then

'(VII) other developed or undeveloped sites within historic districts; then

(VIII) historic properties outside of historic districts, if no suitable site within a historic district exists

'(ii) Any rehabilitation or construction that is undertaken affecting historic properties must be architecturally compatible with the character of the surrounding historic district or properties.

(iii) As used in this subparagraph: "(I) The term 'central business area' means centralized community business areas and adjacent areas of similar character, including other specific areas which may be recommended by local officials.

'(II) The term 'Federal facility' means a building, or part thereof, or other real property or interests therein, owned or leased by the Fed-

eral Government.

"(III) The term 'first consideration' means a preference. When acquiring property, first consideration means a price or technical evaluation preference.'

(6) The first sentence of section 110(1) (16 U.S.C. 470h-2(1)) is amended by striking "with the Council" and inserting "pursuant to regula-tions issued by the Council".

(7) The last sentence of section 212(a) (16 U.S.C. 470t(a)) is amended by striking "2000" and inserting "2005".

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. HEFLEY) and the gentleman from Puerto Rico (Mr. ROMERO-BARCELÓ) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Colorado (Mr. HEFLEY).

Mr. HEFLEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, H.R. 834 reauthorizes the National Historic Preservation Fund until the year 2005. The bill also amends the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 to include a larger area of exemption under the jurisdiction of the Architect of the Capitol and modifies the way Federal agencies consider historic properties for carrying out their responsibilities.

H.R. 834 reauthorizes funds for the National Historic Preservation Act which established a general policy of Federal support and funding for the preservation of the prehistoric and historic resources of the Nation.

This policy directs the Secretary of the Interior to maintain a national register of historic places, to encourage State and local historic preservation through State historic preservation officers, authorizes a grant program under the Historic Preservation Fund to provide States monies for historic preservation projects and to individuals for the preservation of properties listed on the national register.

Lastly, the policy established the advisory counsel on historic preservation

which reviews the policies of federal agencies in implementing the Historic Preservation Act. We need this policy to continue in order to protect our valued historic treasures.

Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that one of the principle purposes of the government is to preserve the cultural fabric of the Nation. Since 1966, one way this Nation has tried to accomplish that goal is through the National Historic Preservation Act. The bill before us reauthorizes that act, as I said, through 2005 at its present level. I think it is a tribute to the program that it has achieved enormous success in spite of the fact that it has never received its full authorization.

State historic preservation agencies have used these federal funds to attract over three times the amount of State and private investment. The bill also codifies and clarifies Executive Order 13006 regarding historic properties by federal agencies. H.R. 834 includes a check list agencies must run through to ensure that wherever possible federal agencies will first make use of adjacent historic properties before seeking to build or buy new buildings.

The bill maintains the exemptions for the Capitol, as I stated earlier. It is hoped that the requirement that the Architect of the Capitol report the area of his jurisdiction will bring awareness to the Federal Government that it should abide by the same laws it passes for the citizenry. That has not always been the case, particularly here in the District of Columbia.

Finally, this bill provides as authorization by which the Interior Department may administer grants to the National Trust for Historic Preservation. This does not mean we are putting the trust back on the public payroll. Rather it allows Interior to respond quickly to emergency situations such as hurricanes or flooding.

In conclusion this bill makes most sweeping changes, only incremental changes to what has become a mature and, I think, a very successful program. There is an element of urgency in passing this legislation since the program has been without authorization for 3 years.

So I would hope that all my colleagues would support this very sound, very solid legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. ROMERO-BARCELÓ. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may

(Mr. ROMERO-BARCELÓ asked and was given permission to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ROMERO-BARCELÓ. Mr. Speaker, H.R. 834 reauthorizations funding for the National Historic Preservation Fund and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation. The bill also makes several minor changes to the National Historic Preservation Act. The National Historic Preservation Act enacted in 1966 established a comprehensive program through which federal,